



NARUC

*Serving the consumer interest
by seeking to improve the
quality and effectiveness
of public utility regulation
in America.*

Cyber security and the Actions Regulators Need to Take

Commissioner Philip Jones, President, NARUC;
Commissioner, Washington Utilities and Transportation
Commission

**10th EU-US Energy Regulators Roundtable
April 8-9, 2013**



Setting the Stage

- **Newspapers, Internet filled with stories about breached security**
 - Banks, credit card companies, federal agencies
 - No utilities--yet

- **BUT--40% of cyber attack attempts in 2012 were on energy facilities**

- **Congress, Administration, State Action**
 - Everyone has a role to play—communication, participation between public and private entities a necessity



NARUC Activities

➤ Grants and Research Department

- Cybersecurity for State Regulators, available on NARUC Website
- Training sessions—26 States by the end of May 2013!

➤ Critical Infrastructure Committee

➤ Themes—Ask Questions, Be Proactive

- Utility regulators don't need to be IT experts
- Ask questions: Utility planning, IT-procurement, standards, personnel policies
- Determine what is a wise investment, what isn't



Washington Action

- **White House Executive Order**
 - **Released in February 2013**
 - **Promotes information sharing between federal agencies, encourages industry participation**

- **Congress**
 - **House, Senate offer different proposals**
 - **In 2012, House-passed bill focused on voluntary framework, Senate wanted more top-down approach, did not act**
 - **NARUC sympathetic to House approach**
 - **House Committees planning April “Cyber Week” to focus on legislation**
 - **Passage unclear, despite support for legislation from Congress and White House**



Threats, Vulnerabilities

➤ **Imminent Threat**

- **Impending threat to security, safety of the grid**
- **Feds can/should act to preserve the grid at all levels**
 - **Timing is everything**

➤ **Vulnerabilities**

- **Weaknesses on the grid requiring less immediate attention**
- **Utilities own, operate the network; they should already have a plan for dealing with weaknesses**
- **What might be necessary in Washington, D.C., likely not necessary in Seattle, Washington**



Need for Communications

- **Federal intelligence agencies have more information**
- **Information must be shared with utilities, so they can act and shore up their systems**
- **Confidentiality**
 - **States can establish critical infrastructure information policies governing public-data requests**
 - **Sept. 11, 2001, other national security events: We've dealt with confidentiality before**



Understanding the Risks

- **U.S. Rep Mike Rogers, Chair, House Intelligence Committee**
 - **“If your CIOs tell you they’ve got this all figured out, I suggest you get yourself a new CIO”**
- **Cyber threat real, dynamic, constantly evolving**
 - **We may never solve it**
- **But it is not unmanageable**



Understanding the Risks

- **All utility infrastructure is vulnerable**
 - **Mother Nature—hurricanes, tornados, reckless drivers, age**
- **We manage these risks everyday**
 - **Lights stay on, but grid remains as vulnerable as ever**
- **Stakes are higher**
 - **Utilizing risk-management approach for cyber protection is essential**
- **Communication is key**
 - **Public, private partnership essential**



Questions?

➤ Questions?